G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000- 20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1894-5
191.
(Inwrai)

File No. 49

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the alienation of the Inam Vattaram belonging to the Tirumala Devaru temple at Hunasanahalli, Bowringpet Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

No. 25

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No: 32 & 32 A
dated 6th & 11th July 1894 received at the
Dewan's Office from Timmannah and
others of Hunasanahalli
Bowringpet Taluk

are
~~is~~ forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District for report

By Order,

(Sd) M. S

Muzrai Secretary.

BANGALORE,
12. 7. 1894.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—20-12-92.—500.

From the Sance No 2164/570 dt 15/07/94



6/7/94

as
1782.

Defence
32

Rep No 32

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894 367 BRANCH

From The Dy. Co
Kolar District

To
Munro
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Dated 15
Despatched 15 December
Received 19/12

Enclosures No 24 record.

General No. 2164
No. 510

In reply to his office memo: No: 25 dated 12th July 1894

SUBJECT —

Submits for orders records relating to the petitions from Patel Thimanna and others of Bunasanahalli, Bowringpet Taluk &c.

—

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the Land No 1196 dy 20/12/95

—

Clearance No 5332/1127 dy 20/12/95

Deputy Commissioner's office
Kolar District

No 2164
570 From

Dated Camp Mommahalpally 15th December

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To

The Muzzoi Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your official Memorandum No=25 dated the 12th July 1894 forwarding for report 2 petitions from Patel Timmanah and others of Hunsanahalli, Bowringpet Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith as per accompanying Extract the Vernacular records bearing on the subject.

It will be observed from the same that a building site enjoyed as an Inam or Vatavaram by the Pujaris of the Tirumala Devaru temple at Hunsanahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk, together with a thatched house built thereon by a Hissedar Pujari named Timmarayappa has been sold by him to one Papiah Shetty and that the Vendee has filed a suit in the Kolar Gold Fields Munsiff's court for the recovery of possession of the said property from the Vendor (2nd Defendant) and his cousin Hanumakappa (1st Defendant).

One of the petitioners under report has been addressed by the 1st Defendant abovenamed who contends that the site with the house in question was given to him about 14 years ago by the 2nd Defendant who has since taken up residence in a different village and that no suit lies in a civil court in the matter as he thinks that the property is an

X Herewith petitions

The only available evidence in support of the
that the site under reference is an Inam is an entry
the old Khanesshumari account of the year
(1860-61) wherein it is shown as "Viramala Devaru's Inam
Vataram" in the enjoyment of the Pujari of the temple but
neither this "Vataram" nor many others similarly enjoyed by
temple archiks or Pujaries seem to have been brought
under Inam settlement probably because they were simply
local grants for building purposes and not supported by
any sanad or like deed issued by Government or other
competent authority.

It is therefore a question whether the alienation
complained of comes within the prohibition contained in
the Notification of 17th November 1877 republished with
Government Proceedings No: 241-50 dated 16th January
Munz:7-60-93
1894 and if the Government decide that it does fall
within the scope of the rules embodied in the said
Notification, the suit will have to be defended at Govern-
-ment cost in the Munzaroi department.

The Munsiff adjourned the case for 2 months
but this report could not be submitted within that
time owing to the delay on the part of the Amildar
in replying to this office order calling for certain

ticulars in the matter.

I have written to the Munsiff for a further adjournment for 2 months and I shall communicate his reply on receipt.

I solicit the favor of early orders in the case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

E Marshall

Deputy Commissioner

Court in the matter as he thinks that the property is an

Gen. 31.]

544

1895

BRANCH

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
Mysore
The Secretary to the
Government ~~Dewan of Mysore~~.

Dated 20th
Despatched 22nd } March 1895.
Received 25/3
General No. 3332
No. 1127
Enclosure No. 18 Slips

This office Letter No: 2164/570 c
dated the 15th December 1894
reporting on petitions from
Patel Timmanha and others of
Hunsanahalli Bowringpet c
Taluk regarding a building c
site enjoyed as Inam ti Vatavan
by the Pujaries of the Timmala-
Devaru temple in the said village.

In continuation of the above, has
the honor to submit for information
and obliging return the accompany
ing

Refer as 25/4/95

Return

Original Suit No 357 of 1893-94

From the same No 4653 & 31/5/95
To the same No 1190 " 27/6/95

accompanying copies of the c
Tolar Gold Fields Munsiff's
Judgement and Decree in the
marginally noted suit pro-
duced by Tirumalappa Pujari
of the Temple.

Deputy Commissioner.
Tolar District.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
733
GENERAL BRANCH

Gen. 31.]

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To Huzarai
The Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 31st May 1895.

Despatched 4-6-95

Received 4/6

General No. 4653
No. 1442

Enclosure No.

This Office Docket No: 3332/1127 dated the 20th March 1895 submitting copies of the Kolar Gold Fields Munsiff's Judgment and Decree in O.S. No: 351 of 1893-94 on the file of his Court.

Has the honor to request that the copies of Judgment and Decree sent with the above may kindly be returned as Atchik Timmalappa who produced them wants the same urgently for reference.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Mr Lanches 1190 dy 20/6/95 —

Gen. 30.]

To issue today

MUZRAI.

1895.

From

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

Dated 20th June.

Despatched 20

Received

Enclosure No. 1 file

General No. 1190.

Muzrai F. No.

His Nos 2164/570, 3332/1127 and 4653/1442 dated respectively 15th December 1894, 20th March and 31st May 1895 forwarding for orders the papers connected with the alienation of the Inam Vattáram belonging to the Tirumala Devaru temple at Hunsanahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk.

With reference to the above, has the honor to

State that the alienation of the Inam Vattara in question having been set aside by the Civil Court, no further action seems necessary in the matter.

2. The enclosures including the copies of Judgment and decree of the Kolar Gold fields Munsiffs' Court are herewith returned.

By order

Secretary

CMOR